

Mechanism of pH-Dependent Decomposition of Monoalkylamine Diazeniumdiolates to Form HNO and NO, Deduced from the Model Compound Methylamine Diazeniumdiolate, Density Functional Theory, and CBS-QB3 Calculations

Andrew S. Dutton,[†] Christopher P. Suhrada,[†] Katrina M. Miranda,[‡] David A. Wink,[§] Jon M. Fukuto,^{II} and K. N. Houk^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, Department of Chemistry, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, Radiation Biology Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, and Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, Center for the Health Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095

Received September 3, 2005

Isopropylamine diazeniumdiolate, IPA/NO, the product of the reaction of isopropylamine and nitric oxide, NO, decomposes in a pH-dependent manner to afford nitroxyl, HNO, in the pH range of 13 to above 5, and NO below pH 7. Theoretical studies using B3LYP/6-311+G(d) density functional theory, the polarizable continuum and conductorlike polarizable continuum solvation models, and the high-accuracy CBS-QB3 method on the simplified model compound methylamine diazeniumdiolate predict a mechanism involving HNO production via decomposition of the unstable tautomer MeNN⁺(O⁻)NHO⁻. The production of NO at lower pH is predicted to result from fragmentation of the amide/NO adduct upon protonation of the amine nitrogen.

Introduction

Amine NONOates, also known as amine diazeniumdiolates, possess the general structure of RR'N[NONO]⁻ and are formed from the reaction of a suitable amine with nitric oxide, NO.^{1,2} Dialkylamine NONOates have been used extensively in chemistry and biology as sources of NO because these compounds decompose in a predictable pHdependent manner to produce two molecules of NO.³ NO has been demonstrated to be a signaling molecule of vital importance for immunology, neurobiology, and cardiology.⁴ The mechanistic details by which dialkylamine NONOates decompose, via thermal or photochemical processes, have

2448 Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 45, No. 6, 2006

been of interest both experimentally and theoretically.^{5,6} We provided a theoretical prediction of the mechanism in which protonation of the most basic position, O(2), gives an unreactive isomer while protonation of the much less basic amino nitrogen leads to rapid dissociation, yielding the neutral amine and two molecules of NO.⁷

Nitroxyl, HNO, is the protonated one-electron-reduction product of NO. HNO is a reactive molecule that readily dimerizes with a second-order rate constant⁸ of $8 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$ s⁻¹ and is proposed to react with oxygen with a rate constant

(7) Dutton, A. S.; Fukuto, J. M.; Houk, K. N. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 1039 and references cited therein.

10.1021/ic051505z CCC: \$33.50 © 2006 American Chemical Society Published on Web 02/22/2006

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: houk@chem.ucla.edu.

[†] Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California. [‡] University of Arizona.

[§] National Cancer Institute.

^{II} Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, Center for the Health Sciences, University of California.

⁽¹⁾ Reilly, E. L. German Patent 1,085,166, July 14, 1960.

^{(2) (}a) Drago, R. S.; Paulik, F. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 96. (b) Drago, R. S.; Karstetter, B. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 1819. (c) Longhi, R.; Ragsdale, R. O.; Drago, R. S. Inorg. Chem. 1962, 1, 768. (d) Ragsdale, R. O.; Karstetter, B. R.; Drago, R. S. Inorg. Chem. 1965, 4, 420.

^{(3) (}a) Keefer, L. K. CHEMTECH 1998, 28, 30. (b) Saavedra, J.; Keefer, L. Chem. Ber. 2000, 36, 30. (c) Keefer, L. K. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2003, 43, 585. (d) Thomas, D. D.; Miranda, K. M.; Espey, M. G.; Citrin, D.; Jourd'heuil, D.; Paolocci, N.; Hewett, S. J.; Colton, C. A.; Grisham, M. B.; Feelisch, M.; Wink, D. A. Methods Enzymol.

<sup>2002, 359, 84.
(4)</sup> Ignarro, L. J. *Nitric Oxide Biology and Pathobiology*; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 2000.

 ^{(5) (}a) Davies, K. M.; Wink, D. A.; Saavedra, J. E.; Keefer, L. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5473. (b) Pavlos, C. M.; Xu, H.; Toscano, J. P. Free Radical Biol. Med. 2004, 37, 745.

^{(6) (}a) Taylor, D. K.; Bytheway, I.; Barton, D. H. R.; Bayse, C. A.; Hall, M. B. J. Org. Chem. **1995**, 60, 435. (b) Pavlos, C. M.; Cohen, A. D.; D'Sa, R. A.; Sunoj, R. B.; Wasylenko, W. A.; Kapur, P.; Relyea, H. A.; Kumar, N. A.; Hadad, C. M.; Toscano, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 14934.

Decomposition of Monoalkylamine Diazeniumdiolates

of 8 × 10³ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, as measured by Liochev and Fridovich,⁹ or 3 × 10³ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, as determined by Miranda et al.¹⁰ The ground state of HNO is a singlet. The tautomer NOH, which is a ground-state triplet, is 25.7 kcal mol⁻¹ higher in energy in the gas phase or 26.4 kcal mol⁻¹ higher in water.¹¹ HNO is a weak acid, possessing a pK_a of ~11.4, and deprotonation to the triplet ground-state anion is spin-forbidden,¹² which provides a significant barrier to proton transfer. In water, deprotonation of HNO has been determined by Lymar and Shafirovich to be base-catalyzed, with an unusually low rate constant of 5.5 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ s⁻¹.¹³ The understanding of the biological significance of HNO is still in its infancy, but this small molecule has been implicated in physiological effects that are distinct from those of NO.¹⁴

The inorganic salt sodium trioxodinitrate, or Angeli's salt, is also a diazeniumdiolate, but decomposition at neutral pH produces HNO rather than NO. Angeli's salt has been widely used to study the biological effects of HNO.14 The mechanism for decomposition of Angeli's salt has been debated in the literature since Angeli first published^{15a} in the late 19th century that the end products were nitrite, NO₂⁻, and nitrous oxide, N₂O, in the pH range of 10 to 3, while NO was the only nitrogen-containing product at or below pH 3.¹⁵ The mechanism of HNO formation is commonly accepted to involve protonation of N2O32- followed by tautomerization and heterolytic cleavage of the N-N bond.^{15c} The mechanism for NO production has been less clear. We recently reported a detailed theoretical study that supports the experimental mechanism for HNO production and suggests that NO results from double protonation of an oxygen of the nitro group.¹¹ The theoretical kinetic parameters from our mechanism¹¹ fit well with the experimental data^{15c} that describe the pH-dependent decomposition. Despite the utility of Angeli's salt in the study of the chemistry and biology of HNO, experimentalists are limited by the properties of this nonfunctionalizable salt. In contrast, aminebased NONOates offer the necessary variety to investigate the effects of NO production in a complex cellular environment. Additionally, there have been recent suggestions that NO₂⁻, a byproduct of Angeli's salt decomposition, may play a signaling role in the cardiovascular system through conversion to NO by red blood cells.¹⁶ Thus, it is important to expand the list of compounds that can be used for the

- (8) Shafirovich, V.; Lymar, S. V. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2002, 99, 7340.
- (9) Liochev, S. I.; Fridovich, I. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* 2003, *34*, 1399.
 (10) Miranda, K. M.; Paolocci, N.; Katori, T.; Douglas, D. T.; Ford, E.;
- Bartberger, M.; Espey, M. G.; Kass, D. A.; Feelisch, M.; Fukuto, J. M.; Wink, D. A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2003**, *100*, 9196.
- (11) Dutton, A. S.; Fukuto, J. M.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3795.
- (12) (a) Shafirovich, V.; Lymar, S. V. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2002, 99, 7340. (b) Bartberger, M. D.; Liu, W.; Ford, E.; Miranda, K. M.; Switzer, C.; Fukuto, J. M.; Farmer, P. J.; Wink, D. A.; Houk, K. N. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2002, 99, 10958.
- (13) Shafirovich, V.; Lymar, S. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6547.
- (14) Miranda, K. M.; Paolocci, N.; Katori, T.; Thomas, D. D.; Ford, E.; Bartberger, M. D.; Espey, M. G.; Kass, D. A.; Feelisch, M.; Fukuto, J. M.; Wink, D. A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2003**, *100*, 9196 and references cited therein.
- (15) (a) Angeli, A. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* 1896, 26, 17. (b) Bonner, F. T.; Ravid,
 B. *Inorg. Chem.* 1975, 14, 558. (c) Hughes, M. N.; Wimbledon, P. E.
 J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 703.

production of HNO in chemical and biological systems. The commonly used amine-based NONOates are synthesized from secondary amines or polyamines.¹⁷ In contrast to these NO donors, decomposition in neutral solution of the amine NONOate produced with isopropylamine (IPA/NO) results in HNO, while NO forms only at lower pHs, similarly to Angeli's salt.¹⁸ Here we discuss the pH-dependent mechanism for the decomposition of monoalkylamine NONOates, based upon quantum-mechanical calculations.

Methods

All structures were initially optimized using the B3LYP¹⁹ density functional theory method with a 6-311+G(d) basis set implemented in the Gaussian 98 program.²⁰ Aqueous solvation energies were calculated as single points on the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) gas-phase optimized geometries using a 6-311+G(d) basis set in the polarizable continuum model, PCM, and the conductor-like polarizable continuum model, CPCM, implemented in Gaussian 98.21,22 The CPCM model has been demonstrated to provide improved aqueous solvation energies over the PCM model for a limited test set.²³ Structures important to the decomposition mechanism were then computed with the more accurate CBS-OB3 extrapolative method, a series of calculations that generally give average errors of ± 1 kcal mol⁻¹ compared to experimentally measured data for the G3 data set.²⁴ Free energies are given for 298 K. The aqueous solvation energies were applied to the B3LYP/6-311+G(d)- and CBS-QB3optimized gas-phase energies. Key structures to the decomposition mechanism were optimized in water using the PCM model and the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) method mentioned above to better simulate the experimental aqueous environment on the mechanism; Gaussian 03 was used for these calculations.²⁵ All values reported in the text are at the CBS-QB3 level of theory including aqueous solvation unless otherwise noted. Absolute pK_a values were computed utilizing the method developed by Riveros and co-workers and B3LYP/6-311+G(d) energies with CPCM aqueous solvation energies.²⁶ Relative pK_a values were predicted using the relationship between $\Delta\Delta G_{aq}$ and pK_a , $\Delta\Delta G = 1.36(\Delta pK_a)$, at 25 °C. The most basic computed pK_a was set equal to the experimentally measured

- (16) Cosby, K.; Partovi, K. S.; Crawford, J. H.; Patel, R.; Reiter, C. D.; Martyr, S.; Yang, B. K.; Waclawiw, M. A.; Salow, G.; Xu, X.; Huang, K. T.; Shields, H.; Kim-Shapiro, D. B.; Schechter, A. N.; Cannon, R. O.; Gladwin, M. T. *Nat. Med. (Tokyo, Japan)* **2003**, *9*, 1498.
- (17) (a) Maragos, C. M.; Morley, D.; Wink, D. A.; Dunams, T. M.; Saavedra, J. E.; Hoffman, A.; Bove, A. A.; Isaac, L.; Hrabie, J. A.; Keefer, L. K. J. Med. Chem. **1991**, *34*, 3242. (b) Hrabie, J. A.; Klose, J. R.; Wink, D. A.; Keefer, L. K. J. Org. Chem. **1993**, *58*, 1472.
- (18) (a) Miranda, K. M.; et al. Manuscript in preparation. (b) For a detailed description of the experimental method of pH-dependent decomposition, see: Miranda K. M.; Dutton, A. S.; Ridnour, L. A.; Foreman, C. A.; Ford, E.; Paolocci, N.; Katori, T.; Tocchetti, C. G.; Mancardi, D.; Thomas, D. D.; Espey, M. G.; Houk, K. N.; Fukuto, J. M.; Wink, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 722.
- (19) (a) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785. (b) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648.
- (20) Frisch, M. J., et al. *Gaussian* 98, revision A.1; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1998.
- (21) Cossi, M.; Barone, R.; Cammi, R.; Tomasi, J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1996, 255, 327.
- (22) Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V. J. Comput. Chem. 2003, 24, 669.
- (23) Takano, Y.; Houk, K. N. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2005, 1, 70.
- (24) Montgomery, J. A.; Ochterski, J. W.; Peterson, G. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 101, 5900.
- (25) Frisch, M. J.; et al. *Gaussian 03*, revision B.04; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.
- (26) Pliego, J. R., Jr.; Riveros, J. M. J. Phys. Chem. A 2002, 106, 7434.



Figure 1. (a) Computed electrostatic potential of IPA/NO projected onto an electronic isodensity surface. The scale shows the difference in electrostatic potential, with red representing areas of large negative charge densities. B3LYP/6-311+G(d)-optimized geometry of (b) IPA/NO and (c) the model compound, MA/NO, with distances given in angstroms. The numbers in parentheses represent the atom-numbering scheme used throughout the paper.

$$\begin{array}{c} \Delta H = -4.6 \\ \Delta G = 18.5 \\ H_{3}C \\ M^{-}N_{+}^{+} \\ H_{N}^{-}N_{-}^{+} \\ M^{-}N_{+}^{+} \\ M^{-}N_{-}^{+} \\ M^{-}N_{-}^{+} \\ M^{+} \\ M^{+} \\ G^{-} \\ E \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} \Delta H = -4.7 \\ \Delta G = -4.4 \\ M^{+} = 37.7 \\ M^{-}N_{+}^{+} \\ M^{+} \\ M^{-}N_{-}^{-} \\ M^{-}N_{-}^{+} \\ M^{-}N_{-}^{-} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} AH = -4.6 \\ N^{-}N_{+}^{+} \\ M^{-}N_{-} \\ M^{-}N_{+}^{-} \\ M^{-}N_{-}^{-} \\ M^{-}N_{-} \\ M^{-}N_{-}$$

Figure 2. Computed energetics for the formation of MA/NO and a comparison of *E* and *Z* configurations in the anionic form. Relative enthalpies and free energies are given in kilocalories per mole for the gas phase as computed with B3LYP/6-311+G(d).

p K_a value of 4.5.^{18a} These p K_a values are expected to have an error of $\pm 2 pK_a$ units.

Results and Discussion

Primary Amine NONOate Structures. Figure 1 shows (a) the electrostatic potential surface of the anion and the computed geometries of (b) IPA/NO and (c) the model compound used, methylamine NONOate or MA/NO, with the atom-labeling scheme used throughout this paper. There is great similarity between IPA/NO and the simplified model compound, MA/NO. The only discrepancy is the 0.01-Å difference in bond length between the substituent and N(1). The computed structures indicate that the [NONO]⁻ functional group is approximately planar with a dihedral angle of 178° for both IPA/NO and the model compound, which is similar to those found for the computed structures of dimethylamine NONOate and Angeli's salt, -178° and 0.0° , respectively.^{7,11} The computed structure of Angeli's salt¹¹ is in good agreement with the crystal structure.²⁷

Primary Amine NONOate Synthesis. The synthesis of amine NONOates, which involves reaction of an amine in a nonpolar solvent under high pressures of NO, was developed by Drago and co-workers and later refined by Keefer and co-workers.^{2,3,17} During the process, the product is formed as an insoluble salt that precipitates from solution. According to B3LYP/6-311+G(d), the reaction of MA with NO to form MA/NO in its most stable neutral form is overall exothermic but endergonic (Figure 2, eq 1). It is known that the amine NONOates are not thermodynamically stable; their preparation relies on a high pressure of NO and the precipitation of NONOate from solution as an alkylammonium salt. One presumes that, similar to the dialkylamine NONOates, the reaction may proceed either via stepwise addition of NO or through the dimerization of NO [$\Delta H = -2$ kcal mol⁻¹ for

 $2NO \rightarrow (NO)_2]^{28}$ followed by nucleophilic attack of the amine on the NO dimer.

Equation 2 shows that the E isomer is computed to be 4.4 kcal mol^{-1} more stable than the Z isomer in the gas phase [3.1 kcal mol⁻¹ in water according to PCM (B3LYP/6-311+G(d)]. The preference for the *E* isomer arises from the internal hydrogen bond between O(2) and HN(1), which is consistent with the reported E preference in the simplest amine diazeniumdiolate, H₂N[NONO]⁻, compared to the slight Z preference in dimethylamine NONOate, which cannot form such a hydrogen bond.⁷ Rotational isomerization from E to Z has a relatively large barrier of 37.4 kcal mol⁻¹ in the gas phase according to B3LYP/6-311+G(d), comparable to the rotational barrier in the parent amine NONOate H₂N[NONO]⁻, 24.2 kcal mol⁻¹, computed previously.⁷ Interconversion of the E and Z isomers could also be achieved by inversion at N(3), but attempts to determine the barrier to inversion indicate that it is much higher in energy than the rotational barrier. Inversion resulted in dissociation of the [NONO]⁻ functional group. The large rotational barrier and the infeasibility of an N(3) inversion process imply that, once formed, the E and Z isomers will not readily interconvert thermally. E/Z isomerization via dissociation-recombination or via an acid- or base-catalyzed process may, however, be more facile.

Primary Amine NONOate Decomposition to HNO. The properties of IPA/NO are similar to those of the dialkylamine NONOates and Angeli's salt, which are stable as solids and in strongly alkaline solutions. At high pH, the NONO group is fully deprotonated; thus, dialkylamine NONOates possess a single negative charge, while Angeli's salt exists as a dianion, $N_2O_3^{2-}$. We explored whether the amine nitrogen of MA/NO is likely to deprotonate at high pH, in analogy to the substituent oxygen of Angeli's salt. The relative energetics of such dibasic isomers of MA/NO are presented in Figure 3.

Figure 3 indicates that the *Z* isomers of MA/NO deprotonated at the amine nitrogen are lower in energy than the *E* isomers, as computed by the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level. The relative stability of the *Z* isomers, **1** and **1a**, varies slightly in the gas phase and in water. However, these dianions are very unstable. The pK_a predicted for the dibasic isomers,

⁽²⁷⁾ Hope, H.; Sequeira, M. R. Inorg. Chem. 1973, 12, 286.

^{(28) (}a) Sayós, R.; Valero, R.; Anglada, J. M.; González, M. J. Chem. Phys. 2000, 112, 6608. (b) Zhao, Y.-L.; Bartberger, M. D.; Goto, K.; Shimada, K.; Kawashima, T.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7964.

Decomposition of Monoalkylamine Diazeniumdiolates



Figure 3. Relative energetics for the isomers of deprotonated MA/NO. Relative enthalpies and free energies are given in kilocalories per mole for the gas phase and in aqueous solvation using both the PCM and CPCM models [B3LYP/6-311+G(d), PCM (B3LYP/6-311+G(d)), and CPCM (HF/6-31+G(d)].

using the method of Pliego and Riveros,²⁶ was determined to be approximately 29. These species should consequently have no relevance to the aqueous chemistry of MA/NO.

Decomposition of IPA/NO to HNO has been observed in the pH range of 13 to 5, where the monoanion is dominant.¹⁸ The relative energetics of all plausible monobasic isomers of MA/NO are presented in Figure 4.

The lowest-energy gas-phase optimized structure, **3**, is an (*E*)-NONOate possessing an internal hydrogen bond. In water, conformers **4** and **4a** and tautomers **5**, **6**, and **6a** are within 3.5 kcal mol⁻¹ of **3** at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level using the PCM model. CPCM predicts that conformers **3**, **4**, and **4a** will dominate the aqueous equilibrium, with conformer **4** favored by 3.1 kcal mol⁻¹. The differences between the solvation methods are within the error of these continuum-based models; these results predict species **3**, **4**, **4a**, **5**, **6**, and **6a** to be present in aqueous solutions, with **3**, **4**, and **4a** present in greatest concentration. There is little preference for the position of the methyl group for the (*Z*)-NONOates as seen by comparing structures **4** to **4a**, **6** to **6a**, and **8** to



Figure 5. Relative energetics for the tautomers of protonated MA/NO. Relative enthalpies and free energies are given in kilocalories per mole for the gas phase and in aqueous solvation using both the PCM and CPCM models [CBS-QB3, PCM (B3LYP/6-311+G(d)), and CPCM (HF/6-31+G-(d)].

8a. For the *E* isomers, a strong hydrogen bond can result in significant stabilization of one isomer: compare **3** to **3a** and **5** to **5a**. When N(3) of (*Z*)-NONOate is protonated, **10** and **10a**, optimization gives the same hydrogen-bonded complex of HNO and the deprotonated nitrosamine, **11**.

The low-energy isomers of each pair in Figure 4 were further computed with the more accurate CBS-QB3 method. The high-energy species **7** and **8** and the higher-energy isomers of the remaining pairs in Figure 4 are excluded from further analysis. The relative energies for the CBS-QB3-computed structures are shown in Figure 5.

As with the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level, **3** is the lowestenergy conformer in the gas phase, although **5** and **6** were



Figure 4. Relative energetics for the tautomers of protonated MA/NO. Relative enthalpies and free energies are given in kilocalories per mole for the gas phase and in aqueous solvation using both the PCM and CPCM models [B3LYP/6-311+G(d), PCM (B3LYP/6-311+G(d)), and CPCM (HF/6-31+G(d))].



Figure 6. Relative energetics for the transition-state structure of 9, 9ts, structure 9, and the constrained structure of 10, structure 10f, relative to species 3. Relative enthalpies and free energies are given in kilocalories per mole for the gas phase and in aqueous solvation using both the PCM and CPCM models [B3LYP/6-311+G(d), PCM (B3LYP/6-311+G(d)), and CPCM (HF/6-31+G(d)].

computed to be quite close in energy. When aqueous solvation was included, the PCM method put **6** as slightly lower in energy than **3**, which is the opposite of the order in water determined by the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level. The CPCM model predicted that **4** is the most stable species in water at both theory levels.

Species 10 again optimized to HNO and the deprotonated nitrosamine molecular complex, 11, suggesting that HNO is produced as a result of protonation at N(3). The other such isomer, 9, optimized to a stable species that is predicted to be 18.3 kcal mol⁻¹ higher in energy than tautomer 3 in the gas phase and approximately 12 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than the ground-state species in water. Heterolytic cleavage of the N(2)-N(3) bond of 9 would also lead to HNO and the deprotonated nitrosoamine. To compare the approximate relative energies of 9 and 10, an optimization was performed with the N(2)-N(3) bond of species 10 constrained to 1.31 Å (the optimized bond length of species 4), yielding structure 10f (f for fixed bond length) at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level (Figure 6). The B3LYP/6-311+G-(d) energies of 9, the constrained structure 10f, and the transition structure of the N-N bond cleavage in structure 9, 9ts, relative to the gas-phase ground-state isomer 3 are shown in Figure 6.

Tautomerization from **3** to **10**, as modeled by **10f**, is approximately 14 kcal mol⁻¹ endergonic in water. Species **9**, which is 6 kcal mol⁻¹ higher in energy than **3** in water, has approximately a 2 kcal mol⁻¹ barrier to cleavage of the N(2)-N(3) bond. Thus, the total barrier to go from **3** to products via **9ts** is around 8 kcal mol⁻¹ in water. These calculations indicate a mechanism for the decomposition of the anion through **9**.

On the basis of the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) and CBS-QB3 results, the PCM model for water was used to optimize **3**, **4**, **6**, **9**, and **9ts**. Structure **10** was also included to determine if aqueous solvation would stabilize the structure and prevent spontaneous N(2)-N(3) bond cleavage. The relative energetics are shown in Figure 7.

Optimization in water predicts that **4** is the most favorable isomer, closely followed by **3**. Species **6**, which was calculated to be the most stable conformer in water using the PCM method and CBS-QB3, was computed to be quite high in energy, $10.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ relative to species **4**, when optimized in an aqueous model environment. This is presumably caused by the fact that in the gas phase the intramolecular hydrogen bond is more stabilizing than that in the aqueous environment. Species **10**, which spontaneously



Figure 7. Relative energetics for species optimized in the PCM aqueous solvation model. Relative enthalpies and free energies are given in kilocalories per mole [PCM (B3LYP/6-311+G(d))].

decomposed to **11** when optimized in the gas phase, formed a stable structure when optimized in the aqueous environment. It is only a shallow minimum, with a barrier to decomposition via **10ts** of only 0.7 kcal mol⁻¹. Isomer **10** provides a pathway to decomposition with a total activation free energy of 14.6 kcal mol⁻¹ from the ground-state structure **4**. Species **9**, which was predicted to have a small barrier to N(2)-N(3) cleavage in the gas phase, is computed to have a barrier of 1.4 kcal mol⁻¹ when optimized in the PCM aqueous solvation model. The total energy for decomposition of **9** in water via transition structure **9ts** is predicted to be 13.9 kcal mol⁻¹.

The relative energetics from optimization in the PCM aqueous solvation model, shown in Figure 7, confirm the preliminary results at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) and CBS-QB3 levels in which the isomeric equilibrium in an alkaline aqueous solution will be dominated by **3** and **4**, with **4** slightly favored. Given the high computed barrier to rotational isomerization, this equilibrium will be skewed to **3** or **4** depending upon which is formed during the synthesis. This analysis suggests that HNO is produced by tautomerization of isomers **3** or **4** to **9** or **10**, respectively. Thus, the mechanism of decomposition to produce HNO is independent of the pH, and the overall activation energy is about 15 kcal mol⁻¹, through **9ts** or **10ts**.

Primary Amine NONOate Decomposition to NO. As the solution pH is decreased, decomposition of IPA/NO increasingly produces NO, at the expense of HNO.¹⁸ This suggests a competition between protonation and tautomerization of isomers **3** or **4**. The structures of **3** and **4** protonated at N(1), O(1), O(2), and N(3) were optimized, and the relative energies are shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Relative enthalpies and free energies for the gas phase in kilocalories per mole for the diprotonated species and in aqueous solvation using both the PCM and CPCM models [B3LYP/6-311+G(d), PCM (B3LYP/6-311+G(d)), and CPCM (HF/6-31+G(d))].



Figure 9. Relative enthalpies and free energies for the gas phase in kilocalories per mole for the diprotonated species and in aqueous solvation using both the PCM and CPCM models [CBS-QB3, PCM (B3LYP/6-311+G(d)), and CPCM (HF/6-31+G(d)].

Species 13, possessing an internal hydrogen bond, is computed to be the most stable diprotonated isomer, followed closely by the corresponding *E* isomer, 12. Protonation of 3 or 4 at N(1), providing 18 and 20, respectively, gave species that optimized in the gas phase to the dissociated amine and the NO dimer molecular complex, structures 19 and 21, respectively. The N₂O₂ fragment in species 19 is an excited electronic state of the trans NO dimer, as was seen previously for the dialkylamine NONOates.⁷

As for the monoanionic structures, the isomers in Figure 8 were further evaluated using the more accurate CBS-QB3 method, and the resulting relative energies are shown in Figure 9.

The relative energies in Figure 9 follow the trend found in Figure 8, with **13** predicted to be the most stable diprotonated isomer in water and **12** computed to be 3 kcal mol^{-1} less stable. All other diprotonated species are found to be significantly higher in energy. Diprotonation at N(1) and optimization in the gas phase produce molecular complexes between the NO dimer and MA, **19** and **21**. When separated, the free energy would become favorable with respect to **13**. The large energy difference between **19** and

1.40 Å H ₃ C,	1.43 Å H ₃ C, H ⁻ N ⁺ , H ⁻ N, H ⁻ N, O ⁻	1.44 Å H ₃ C ₄ O H-N N, H N-O
13	18f	20f
∆H _{rel} = 0.0	∆H _{rel} = 24.5	∆H _{rel} = 31.3
∆G _{rel} = 0.0	∆G _{rel} = 24.5	∆G _{rel} = 30.8
∆G _{aq, PCM} = 0.0	∆G _{aq, PCM} = 13.6	∆G _{aq, PCM} = 16.7
$\Delta G_{ad,CPCM} = 0.0$	$\Delta G_{aq,CPCM} = 11.9$	$\Delta G_{aq. CPCM} = 10.1$

Figure 10. Relative energetics for the constrained structures of **18** and **20**, structures **18f** and **20f**, respectively, relative to species **13**. Relative enthalpies and free energies are given in kilocalories per mole for the gas phase and in aqueous solvation using both the PCM and CPCM models [B3LYP/6-311+G(d), PCM (B3LYP/6-311+G(d)), and CPCM (HF/6-31+G(d)].

21 is caused by the formation of an electronic excited state of the trans NO dimer in **19** as described previously.⁷

To approximate the energetics required to obtain the isomers diprotonated at N(1), structures **18f** and **20f** were optimized with the N(1)–N(2) bond lengths constrained to their values in **3** (1.43 Å) and **4** (1.44 Å), respectively. **18f** and **20f** are shown in Figure 10 alongside the lowest-energy isomer, **13**.



Figure 11. Relative energetics for species optimized in the PCM aqueous solvation model. Relative enthalpies and free energies are given in kilocalories per mole [PCM (B3LYP/6-311+G(d))].



Figure 12. Theoretical mechanism for the pH-dependent production of HNO and NO from MA/NO.

The computed energies for the formation of the diprotonated structures, **18** and **20**, as approximated by the constrained structures **18f** and **20f**, respectively, are 24.5 and 30.8 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively, higher than that of **13** in the gas phase. Upon aqueous solvation, the relative energies of **18f** and **20f** are roughly equal and lie in the neighborhood of 14 kcal/mol¹ higher in energy than **13**.

The high-energy isomers at both the B3LYP/6-311+G-(d) and CBS-QB3 levels, 14 and 15, were excluded from further consideration. Species 12, 13, 16–18, and 20 were then further optimized in the PCM model of water to better approximate the energetics associated with the experimental aqueous solution. The relative energetics of these species optimized in water are shown in Figure 11 along with the computed pK_a values, which are obtained relative to the experimental value of the pK_a of 13.

There was less ambiguity in the order of the diprotonated isomers compared to the monoprotonated isomers at lower levels of computation, but the outcome of the PCM model analyses was quite similar. The lowest-energy diprotonated species in aqueous solution calculated by the CPCM model, **13**, was also predicted to be the lowest at the PCM level, with **12** only slightly higher in energy. Further, the structures that were predicted to be unstable by gas-phase optimization, **18** and **20**, were predicted to be stable in an aqueous model. Protonations at N(1) to produce **18** and **20** were calculated to be less energetically favorable than protonation at N(3) to give **16** or **17**. However, the transition states for the

decomposition of the N(3) protonated tautomers, via 16ts and 17ts to give HNO, are higher in energy than decomposition via the N(1) protonated tautomer via 18ts to give the NO dimer. The barrier for the decomposition of 20, 20ts, could not be determined because attempts to optimize the transition structure led to the products, the NO dimer and amine complex, or the starting structure, 20. This suggests that 20 lies in a very shallow minimum and has an exceptionally small barrier to decomposition. An upper limit to the barrier of decomposition, 20ts, can be estimated from the 0.9 kcal mol⁻¹ barrier for **18ts**. Species **18** and **20** have very similar energies, differing by only 0.7 kcal mol^{-1} (Figure 11). The approximate barriers in the gas-phase optimizations using the constrained N-N bond, 18f and 20f, also possess very similar energetics in an aqueous solution, differing by about 3 kcal mol^{-1} (Figure 10). These data indicate that species 20 and 18 possess virtually the same energetic profiles and would be expected to decompose at similar rates. Species 20 and 18 could thus be used interchangeably in the following discussion. Only 20 will be considered because it is slightly lower in energy than 18, and the ground-state protonated species, 13, is a (Z)-NONOate similar to 20.

pH-Dependent Mechanism of Primary Amine NONOate Decomposition. The decomposition of NONOates in both their monobasic (Figure 7) and fully protonated forms (Figure 11) can be thought of in terms of the equilibrium between low-energy tautomers, which are



Figure 13. Plot of experimentally observed rate constants versus pH for decomposition of the sodium salt of IPA/NO, along with the least-squares fit to eq 4: $K_{10}k_{10} = 0.0019$, $K_{20}k_{20} = 0.070$, and $pK_{a13} = 4.5$ ($R^2 = 0.95$).

stable, and certain high-energy tautomers that decompose readily. To use the example of Z MA/NO, decomposition occurs essentially without a barrier from 10. Of course, at any given time, most of the NONOate will exist as the stable, low-energy tautomer 4. The rate of decomposition from 4 will depend on the small equilibrium population of 10 (K_{10}) = [10]/[4]). At lower pH, the monobasic NONOate will also be in equilibrium with its protonated form. This equilibrium is expressed in the pK_a , based on the most stable protonated and nonprotonated isomers ($K_{a13} = [4][H^+]/[13]$). Decomposition of the protonated NONOate is also based on the equilibrium population of a metastable tautomer ($K_{20} = [20]/$ [13]). These pathways and other, nonproductive equilibria are compiled in both the protonated and nonprotonated forms to yield an overall kinetic model for decomposition as summarized in Figure 12. This model corresponds to the kinetic expression for k_{obs} in eq 3 (where d/dt [NONOate] $= -k_{obs}$ [NONOate]). According to the relative energies listed in Figures 7 and 11, K_{10} , K_6 , K_{20} , K_{17} , etc., are all much less than 1, and so eq 3 may be simplified to eq 4a, which may alternatively be expressed as eq 4b for correspondence with previously published kinetic models for similar NONOate systems.7

$$k_{\rm obs} = [K_{10}k_{10} + K_{20}k_{20}([{\rm H}^+]/K_{\rm a13})]/[(1 + K_{10} + K_6 + ...) + (1 + K_{20} + K_{15} + K_{17} + ...)([{\rm H}^+]/K_{\rm a13})]$$
(3)

$$k_{\rm obs} = [K_{10}k_{10} + K_{20}k_{20}([{\rm H}^+]/K_{a13})]/[1 + ([{\rm H}^+]/K_{a13})]$$
(4a)

$$k_{\rm obs} = (K_{10}k_{10}K_{a13} + K_{20}k_{20}[{\rm H}^+])/(K_{a13} + [{\rm H}^+]) \quad (4b)$$

Figure 13 shows experimentally determined pH-dependent rate constants (k_{obs}) for IPA/NO decomposition.¹⁸ A leastsquares fit of eq 4a to these data indicates $K_{10}k_{10} = 0.0019$ s⁻¹, $K_{20}k_{20} = 0.070$ s⁻¹, and $pK_{a13} = 4.5$. It is readily apparent that the fit value of $K_{20}k_{20}$ must reflect something of a lower limit because, unfortunately, no data are available below pH = 3. $K_{10}k_{10}$ reflects the cumulative barrier from **4** to **10ts**, which our calculations predict to be only slightly higher than **10**. Likewise, $K_{20}k_{20}$ reflects $\Delta G^{\dagger}(20ts-13)$. On the basis of the fit values, $\Delta G^{\ddagger}(10ts-4) = 21.2$ kcal mol⁻¹, compared to a calculated prediction of 14.6 kcal mol⁻¹, and $\Delta G^{\ddagger}(20ts-13) = 19.0$, compared to a calculated lower limit [$\Delta G(20-13)$] of 11.2 kcal mol⁻¹.

According to the mechanism in Figure 12, the rate of decomposition in the low pH range, to form NO, will become constant once diprotonation exceeds the tautomerization of the monobasic isomers. This presumably occurs at a pH for which kinetic measurements have not yet been attempted. The pH range of 5-6 is an interesting region of the curve where the production of both NO and HNO is significant. The fit as we have implemented it applies equally well to either (Z)-NONOates, as we have used to explain it, or (E)-NONOates (3, 9, 12, and 18 in place of 4, 10, 13, and 20), or even a combination of the two if they are in fast equilibrium. The fit might be improved in the inflection region if both (Z)- and (E)-NONOates are present and they react separately (3 does not equilibrate with 4) but in parallel with each other. A difference in pK_a of 1 unit, as is predicted by our calculations, could extend the mid-pH inflection region of the curve horizontally to fit the data better. Unfortunately, this possibility must remain purely speculative because it introduces too many variables into the system to make any kind of meaningful fit to the available experimental data. There could also be general-acid-catalyzed decomposition pathways occurring that have not been investigated; such processes would depend on the nature and concentration of the acid.

Summary. IPA/NO has been shown experimentally to produce both HNO and NO in a pH-dependent process.¹⁸ This decomposition was studied theoretically using MA/NO as a simplified model for IPA/NO. This investigation provides a possible mechanism for the production of HNO and NO from the decomposition of monoalkylamine NONOates. At high pH, the (Z)-monoanion protonated at N(1) is predicted to be the most stable isomer. Tautomerization to the higher-energy isomer protonated at N(3) will be slow and independent of pH. This tautomer will be a very minor component of the equilibrium but once formed will spontaneously and rapidly dissociate to produce HNO and the deprotonated nitrosamine because of the very small barrier to N-N bond cleavage. The resulting nitrosamine is expected to be unstable and rapidly decompose to the primary alcohol and N₂.30

As the pH is lowered, the (*Z*)-monoanion protonated at N(1) is predicted to be protonated at O(2). Tautomerization to an isomer diprotonated at N(1) gives a species that has a very low barrier to N–N bond cleavage. Breaking of the N–N bond of this isomer results in cleavage of the amine/ NO adduct back to the starting materials, neutral amine and two molecules of NO. The theoretical pK_{a13} , 4, is close to the experimental pK_a of 4.5.^{18a} The calculated rate constants and pK_a are consistent with the observed competition (Figure 13) between the formation of HNO, through a tautomer

⁽²⁹⁾ Shafirovich, V.; Lymar, S. V. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2002, 99, 7340–7345.

⁽³⁰⁾ Wink, D. A.; Kasprazak, K. S.; Maragos, C. M.; Elespuru, R. K.; Misra, M.; Dunams, T. M.; Cebula, T. A.; Koch, W. H.; Andrews, A. W.; Allen, J. S.; Keefer, L. K. *Science* **1991**, *254*, 1001.

protonated at N(3), and of NO, through a tautomer doubly protonated at N(1) (Figure 12).

This theoretical study has suggested a mechanism for the pH-dependent decomposition of monoalkylamine NONOates, such as IPA/NO. The results should be of value for the design of other HNO and NO donors.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the National Institute of General Medical Sciences, National Institutes of Health (K.N.H.), and the National Science Foundation (J.M.F.) for financial support of this research. A.S.D. acknowledges the support of the National Institutes of Health, Chemistry and Biology Interface Training Grant from the University of California, Los Angeles.

Supporting Information Available: Cartesian coordinates for all optimized structures and complete references 18, 20, and 25. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

IC051505Z